1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CURTIS J. WILLIAMS, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0164 DFL PAN P 12 VS. 13 R. W. SANDHAM, et al., 14 Defendants. **ORDER** 15 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 16 17 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed July 7, 2005, the United States Marshal was directed to serve 18 process on, inter alia, defendant P. Mangis. On September 27, 2005, defendant Mangis together 19 with two other defendants filed an answer to the complaint. On September 28, 2005, service 20 directed to defendant Mangis was returned unexecuted. On December 19, 2005, plaintiff filed a 21 motion to compel by which he seeks additional information in order to accomplish service on 22 defendant Mangis. On February 9, 2006, the court again ordered the United States Marshal to 23 serve process on defendant Mangis. In view of said defendant's September 27, 2005 answer to 24 the complaint, that order will be vacated, and plaintiff's motion to compel will be denied as

25

26

moot.

/////

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's December 19, 2005 motion for an order compelling discovery is denied; 2. The court's February 9, 2006 order directing the United States Marshal to serve process on defendant Mangis is vacated; and 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the United States Marshal. DATED: February 23, 2006. will0164.o